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The optical injection-locking properties of quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) are investigated theoretically via a simple low dimensional rate equation model. The modulation bandwidth is found to be primarily enhanced by increasing the injection strength, while the impact of the frequency detuning and the linewidth enhancement factor (LEF) is moderate. However, both positive frequency detuning and large LEF contribute to enhancing the magnitude of the relaxation oscillation frequency peak in the intensity modulation (IM) response. As opposed to traditional injection-locked interband lasers, no pre-resonance frequency dip occurs in the QCL’s IM response, and calculations show that the LEF can critically modify both the locking and stability regions on the optical detuning injection level map.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) have been of great interest technologically since the first demonstration by Faist et al. in 1994. Because of the intersubband optical transitions, the spectra of QCLs ranges from mid-infrared down to terahertz, which can be widely used in optical communication, high resolution spectroscopy, imaging, and remote sensing. Indeed, due to the small carrier lifetime as compared to the photon lifetime, one particular feature of QCLs is the absence of relaxation oscillations in the electrical modulation response. Thus, because of the short stimulated lifetime and the cascade of photons, QCLs lead to ultra-wide modulation bandwidth, which is also highly desirable for free-space short-range communications. On the other hand, the short non-radiative lifetime can suppress the relaxation oscillations resulting in an over-damped oscillator with carrier equilibrium completely restored after one photon roundtrip. To this end, assuming a simplified rate equation model, the modulation bandwidth can be as large as of 100 GHz. Values up to terahertz were even theoretically predicted in intersubband semiconductor lasers based on a triple quantum well structure. However, these values get restricted to tens of gigahertz when using a full rate equation approach taking into account the ground level from which electrons leave the active region into the injector of the next stage and QCL periods. Experimentally, R. Paiella et al. reported a 8 micron QCL without the relaxation oscillation resonance, and its modulation bandwidth is 10 GHz. Besides, modulation bandwidths of 13 GHz and 24 GHz have also been reported on terahertz QCLs with a test bench technique.

Since the relaxation oscillation is responsible for the dynamic stability in the free-running laser, a slight external perturbation such as modulation, optical injection or self-injection is enough to induce sustained pulsating intensities. Optical injection-locking technique is known to be an attractive approach for improving the modulation characteristics of directly modulated interband semiconductor lasers. Indeed, optical injection can be very critical for increasing the modulation bandwidth, reducing the laser’s chirp, and suppressing the mode hopping phenomenon as well as the relative intensity noise. For instance, a record relaxation resonance frequency of 72 GHz associated with a broadband response of 44 GHz has been reported in an injection-locked quantum well DFB laser. Such a bandwidth enhancement corresponds to a 5.5 fold improvement when compared to the free-running case. A theoretical study has recently reported the impacts of optical injection on the modulation properties of QCLs. Numerical results point that injection-locked QCLs show no unstable regime in the locking map while giant modulation bandwidths as large as 200 GHz can be reached with a 10 dB injection level ratio.

This paper aims to go a step beyond in examining the IM properties of injection-locked QCLs, by taking into account the influences of injection strength, frequency detuning and LEF with fast and slow carrier removal rate. Based on a second-order system model, the modulation transfer function of the injection-locked
laser is obtained from a small signal analysis. Calculations show that the modulation bandwidth is mostly enhanced by increasing the injection strength, while it is little impacted by the frequency detuning and the LEF. However, both positive detuning and large LEF lead to the peak occurrence in the modulation response. In comparison with conventional injection-locked interband semiconductor lasers, no pre-resonance frequency dip occurs in the QCLs’ IM response.

II. RATE EQUATION MODEL

FIG. 1. Sketch of the simplified carrier dynamics model in injection-locked QCLs.

The classical differential equation describing the complex field of an injection-locked laser is as follows \(^{20,21}\):

\[
\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left(G - \frac{1}{\tau_p}\right) (1 + j\alpha_{inj}) E(t) + k_c A_{inj} - j\Delta w_{inj} E(t)
\]

(1)

where \(E(t)\) is the slave laser’s complex field, \(G\) is the gain, \(\tau_p\) is the photon lifetime, \(A_{inj}\) is the injected field magnitude, \(\alpha_{inj}\) is the LEF, \(\Delta w_{inj}\) is the frequency detuning \((\Delta w_{inj} = w_{master} - w_{slave})\), \(k_c\) is the coupling rate of the master laser into the slave laser and defined such as \(k_c = c/(2nL)\) with \(n\) the refractive index and \(L\) the cavity length. The complex rate equation (1) can be split into two coupled rate equations for the photon number and the phase, respectively, according to the relationship \(E(t) = S(t) \exp j\Delta\phi(t)\) with the phase difference \(\Delta\phi = \phi_{slave} - \phi_{master}\). Along with the simplified carrier rate equation\(^7\), the rate equations of injection-locked QCLs are finally given by:

\[
\frac{dN_{up}}{dt} = \frac{1}{q} N_{up} - G_0 \Delta N S
\]

(2)

\[
\frac{dN_{low}}{dt} = \frac{N_{up}}{\tau_{sp}} + G_0 \Delta N S - \frac{N_{low}}{\tau_{sp}}
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = (G_0 \Delta N - 1/\tau_p) S + \frac{\alpha_{inj}}{\tau_{sp}} N_{up} + 2k_c \sqrt{S_{inj}} S \cos \Delta\phi
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{d\Delta\phi}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_{inj}}{2} (G_0 \Delta N - 1/\tau_p) - \Delta \omega_{inj} - k_c \sqrt{S_{inj}} S \sin \Delta\phi
\]

(5)

where \(N_{up}\) and \(N_{low}\) are the carrier numbers in the upper and lower subbands, respectively. The QCL is assumed to be composed of one period as in Ref. 7, and the sketch of the carrier dynamics is shown in Fig. 1. The pump current \(I\) is directly injected into the active region without through the injector. Then, the carriers enter the quantum well through the upper subband and leave only via the lower subband. Neither the carrier absorption process nor the ground level in the active region is taken into account in the simulations. Finally, let us note that \(\tau_N\) is the carrier relaxation time from the upper subband, \(\tau_p\) is the carrier removal time from the lower subband, \(\tau_{sp}\) is the spontaneous emission lifetime, \(\beta\) is the spontaneous emission factor, and \(S_{inj}\) is the injected photon number. The linear gain \(G = G_0 \Delta N\) is proportional to \(\Delta N = N_{up} - N_{low}\) as well as to the gain coefficient \(G_0\), which corresponds to the differential gain multiplied by the group velocity. Although the approach used in this paper is rather simplified as compared to an actual QCL band structure, it is shown in the following that some preliminary insights regarding the high-speed properties of optically-injected QCLs can be extracted.

III. STEADY-STATE RESPONSE

Neglecting the spontaneous term in Eq. (4) and setting the rate equations (2)-(5) to zero, the steady-state solutions can be expressed as:

\[
S = \frac{k_c^2 S_{inj}}{4 \left(G_0 \Delta N - 1/\tau_p\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_{inj}}{2} (G_0 \Delta N - 1/\tau_p) - \Delta \omega_{inj}\right)^2}
\]

(6)

\[
\Delta N = N_{up} - N_{low} = \frac{1}{G_0} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_p} - 2k_c \sqrt{S_{inj}} S \cos \Delta\phi\right)
\]

(7)

\[
\Delta\phi = \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{-\Delta \omega_{inj}}{k_c \sqrt{1 + \alpha_{inj}} \sqrt{S_{inj}}}\right) - \tan^{-1} \alpha_{inj}
\]

(8)

Let us stress that the expression of the carrier number for the lower subband \(N_{low} = \tau_p I / q\) is similar to the one occurring in the free-running laser. According to Eq. (7), \(\Delta N\) is found to be reduced by \(2k_c \sqrt{S_{inj}} S \cos \Delta\phi / G_0\) from the free running value \(\Delta N_p = 1 / (G_0 \tau_p)\). The bounds for the variation of the phase across the locking range can be derived from Eq. (7) and Eq. (8), which are \(\cot^{-1}\alpha_{inj}\) at the negative frequency detuning edge and \(-\pi/2\) at the positive frequency detuning edge\(^{22}\). Then, the locking regime can be obtained by rearranging Eq. (8):
\[ \Delta w_{ij} = -k_e \sqrt{1 + \alpha_{ij}^2} \sqrt{S_{ij} / S} \sin(\Delta \phi + \tan^{-1} \alpha_{ij}) \] (9)

In the simulations, the lasing wavelength is \( \lambda = 8.0 \) μm and the other parameters are \( G_0=2.5 \times 10^5 \) s\(^{-1} \), \( \tau_p = 1 \) ps, \( \tau_c = 1.5 \) ps, \( \tau_g = 7 \) ns, \( \beta = 10^4 \), \( n_i = 3.27 \), \( k_e = 305.8 \) GHz while the bias current is fixed at \( I = 1.2I_{th} \). Although the typical cavity length of QCLs is in the order of millimetres\(^{23,25} \), shorter cavity is desirable for higher modulation bandwidth. In consequence, the cavity length in our simulation is set down to \( L = 0.15 \) mm as in Ref. 5. Fig. 2 shows the locking map as function of the detuning frequency and the injection ratio. Recent experiments have shown that the above-threshold QCL LEF can range from 0 to about 2.5\(^{22,26} \). To this end, calculations in this paper are conducted for LEF values of 0.1, 1, 2 and 3. The boundaries (solid line) between the locked and unlocked regimes are obtained from Eqs. (7)-(9). As for interband lasers, the locking range is found to increase with the injection ratio. Calculations also show that the LEF mainly influences the locking diagram especially for the negative frequency detuning boundary, which is reduced at larger LEFs. As it will be discussed in the next section, the stability boundary can be obtained from the pole extraction of the IM response (see Eq. (12)) via a stability analysis\(^{16} \). To this end, dashed lines in Fig. 2 indicate the stability boundary. The stable regime enlarges with higher LEF values. Interestingly, for LEF=0.1, the system is found always stable when the injection ratio gets larger than 0.05 (the boundary for \( R_{inj} = 0.05 \) is not shown since it overlaps others). Unlike the traditional locking map of interband lasers, simulations point out that in the case of injection-locked QCLs, there is no unstable regime in the locking range as already reported in Ref. 19. However, at this stage we believe that this conclusion has to be supported via a deeper non-linear dynamics analysis conducted on a full rate equation model.

IV. MODULATION RESPONSE

In order to obtain the small-signal responses to a small current deviation \( I \), the deviations of \( N_{sp}, N_{s}, S, \Delta \phi \) are defined as \( N_{sp1}, N_{s1}, S_1 \) and \( \Delta \phi_1 \), respectively. Then, the differential rate equation can be derived from the rate equations (5)-(8) via a standard small-signal analysis as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{11} + jw & -\gamma_{12} & -\gamma_{13} & 0 \\
-\gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} + jw & -\gamma_{23} & 0 \\
-\gamma_{31} & -\gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33} + jw & -\gamma_{34} & -\gamma_{41} & -\gamma_{42} & -\gamma_{43} & \gamma_{44} + jw
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{N_{sp1}}{N_{inj1}} \\
N_{s1} \\
S_1 \\
\Delta \phi_1
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\] (10)

with

\[
\gamma_{11} = S/G_0 S + 1 / \tau_p; \quad \gamma_{12} = G_0 S; \quad \gamma_{13} = -G_0 \Delta N; \\
\gamma_{21} = G_0 S + 1 / \tau_p; \quad \gamma_{22} = G_0 S + 1 / \tau_c; \quad \gamma_{23} = G_0 \Delta N; \\
\gamma_{31} = 1 / \tau_p - G_0 \Delta N - k_e \cos \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} / S}; \\
\gamma_{32} = -2k_e \sin \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} S}; \quad \gamma_{33} = \gamma_{34} = \alpha_{ij} / 2 S; \\
\gamma_{41} = k_e \cos \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} / S}; \\
\gamma_{42} = k_e \sin \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} S}; \\
\gamma_{43} = k_e \cos \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} / S}; \\
\gamma_{44} = k_e \cos \Delta \phi \sqrt{S_{inj} S};
\]

Then, the modulation transfer function can be extracted as follows:

\[
H(w) = \frac{S_1(w) / j \Phi_1(0)}{S_1(0) / \Delta \Phi(0)} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{(jw - z_1)(jw - z_2)}{jw - p_1}(jw - p_2)(jw - p_3)(jw - p_4)
\] (12)

where the zeros are \( z_1 = 1 / \tau_p - 1 / \tau_c \) and \( z_1 = k_e \sqrt{S_{inj} / S} (\alpha_{ij} \sin \Delta \phi - \cos \Delta \phi) \), respectively. Parameter \( z_1 \) is mainly determined by the injection condition while \( z_2 \) is related to the difference between the carrier relaxation and carrier removal rates. The denominator of Eq. (12) represents a polynomial function whose expression comes from the determinant of the matrix located in Eq. (10). Because of the complexity of the transfer function, it is important to stress that the analytical expressions of the poles are too complicated to be extracted.

According to Ref. 7, for fast carrier removal rates, the IM response of the free-running QCLs does not exhibit a peak while in the case of slow carrier removal rates, a peak can arise in the free-running IM response. Let us note that this peak is not due to the traditional carrier-photon relaxation resonance as in interband lasers, but to the small zero occurring in the modulation transfer function. In what follows, optical injection-locking behaviours of QCLs have been
investigated both for fast and slow carrier removal rates.

![Graph](image)

FIG. 3. IM response of optical injection-locked QCLs for fast carrier removal rate ($\tau = 0.21$ ps) as a function of (a) Injection ratio at zero detuning with LEF=1, (b) detuning frequency at $R_{inj}=10$ dB with LEF=1, and (c) LEF at zero detuning with $R_{inj}=10$ dB.

### A. IM response with fast carrier removal rate

The carrier removal time is set to be $\tau = 0.21$ ps. Fig. 3(a)-(c) show the optical injection-locking IM response of the QCLs as a function of the injection ratio $R_{inj}$, frequency detuning and LEF, respectively. As depicted in Fig. 3(a) at zero detuning with $\text{LEF}=1$, the bandwidth $f_{3dB}$ increases with the injection ratio, and the modulation response remains relatively flat with no peak. At $R_{inj}=10$ dB, the modulation bandwidth (163 GHz) is 5.2 fold enhancement as compared to the free-running one (51 GHz). In comparison, in the case of injection-locked interband lasers, there is an optimum injection strength, above which the modulation bandwidth starts decreasing.

#### TABLE I. Poles, Zeros and Bandwidths (GHz) for Fig. 3(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_{inj}$ (dB)</th>
<th>$p_1$</th>
<th>$p_2$</th>
<th>$p_3$</th>
<th>$p_4$</th>
<th>$z_1$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
<th>$f_{3dB}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>-35.3</td>
<td>-93.2</td>
<td>-777.9</td>
<td>-651.8</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-24.3</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>-875.9</td>
<td>793.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>651.8</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-50.2</td>
<td>±35.9</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>825.4</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>651.8</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>±64.9</td>
<td>173.5</td>
<td>947.9</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>651.8</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the IM response shape can be analyzed through the Bode diagram, poles and zeros as well as the 3 dB bandwidths have been determined for Fig. 3(a), and the corresponding values are listed in Table I. Because $|z_1|$, $|p_1|$ and $|p_2|$ are much smaller than the other poles and zeros, those play a dominant role in the IM response. As seen in Fig. 3(a), the initial slope of the modulation response is 0 dB/decade. When the modulation frequency exceeds the zero value $|z_1|$, the slope of the Bode diagram starts increasing until the frequency reaches the pole $|p_1|$. Because $|p_1|$ is close to $|z_1|$, only a relatively small peak is observed in the modulation response. At larger modulation frequencies, the break-up point, which is related to the pole $|p_2|$ induces a decrease of the Bode diagram with a slope of -20 dB/decade. This dominant pole $|p_2|$ can be used to evaluate the 3 dB bandwidth such as:

$$f_{3dB} = \sqrt{3|p_2|}$$

(13)

We would like to note that since the modulation bandwidth can be enhanced by a high injection ratio, the impacts of frequency detuning and LEF are studied under strong optical injection ($R_{inj}=10$ dB). In Fig. 3(b) with LEF=1, the modulation bandwidth is found almost insensitive to the values of the frequency detuning. A similar effect is pointed out in Fig. 3(c) at zero detuning for different values of the LEF. According to Eq. (13), this phenomenon can be attributed to the fact that the pole $|p_2|$ is only slightly changed. However, it is important to note that, the peak occurrence is predominant at the positive detuning edge and especially for large LEF values. This effect is related to the pole $p_2$, which becomes closer to the imaginary axis, and resulting in an under-damped modulation response.
In Fig. 4(a) at zero detuning with LEF=1, both the peak magnitude and the bandwidth increase with the injection ratio. Under strong optical injection ($R_{inj}=10$ dB), the bandwidth (393 GHz) is about 3 times larger when compared to the free-running case (135 GHz). This strong enhancement is the consequence of the slow carrier removal rate making the zero $|z_2|$ smaller than the other poles. Thus, $|z_2|$ when associated with the small zero $|z_1|$ leads to a peak arising in the IM response. Similarly to the fast carrier removal rate case, the peak increases at positive detuning as depicted in Fig. 4(b) for $R_{inj}=10$ dB and with LEF=1. In Fig. 4(c), a similar effect occurs for large LEF values under the zero detuning case with $R_{inj}=10$ dB. This effect is also due to the pole $p_2$, which becomes closer to the imaginary axis in the pole-zero plot. Besides, both the frequency detuning and the LEF have little effect on the modulation bandwidth. Unlike injection-locked interband lasers\cite{18}, it is important to stress that no pre-resonance frequency dip appears in the IM response both for the fast and the slow carrier removal rate cases. These results indicate that the dip, which is the major drawback limiting the 3-dB bandwidth of injection-locked interband lasers, does not occur in injection-locked QCLs. This major difference is due to the ultrafast carrier dynamics resulting from the intersubband transitions in the QCLs. The elimination of the pre-resonance frequency dip is always highly desirable to meet the broadband requirement for high-speed communications as well as for free-space short range communications.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Based on a simplified rate equation model, the optical injection-locked properties of QCLs have been investigated taking into account the influences of the LEF and the carrier removal rate. These preliminary stability analysis results are of prime importance for the improvements of the modulation properties of QCLs. Calculations show that the modulation bandwidth increases with the injection strength, with a 10 dB injection ratio, the bandwidth can be ~3 fold improved in comparison with the free-running laser. However, it is little impacted by the frequency detuning and the LEF. The magnitude of the peak in the IM response is found more sensitive at the positive frequency detuning condition especially for large LEF values. In comparison with conventional injection-locked interband semiconductor lasers, no pre-resonance frequency dip occurs in the QCLs' IM response. Although these calculations demonstrate no unstable regime in the locking range, it has to be confirmed via a systematic nonlinear dynamics analysis. To this end, an enhanced rate equation model

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$R_{inj}$ (dB) & $p_1$ & $p_2$ & $p_3$ & $z_1$ & $z_2$ & $\Delta f_{3dB}$ \\
\hline
Free & -26.5 & -127.3 & 26.0 & -26.5 & 135 \\
-10 & -26.3 & -137.9 & 20.0 & -16.7 & -26.5 & 212 \\
0 & -44.0 & 106.9 & 212.1 & -39.9 & -26.5 & 289 \\
10 & -69.9 & -71.6 & 418.0 & -81.3 & -26.5 & 393 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Poles, Zeros and Bandwidths (GHz) for Fig. 4(a)}
\end{table}
will take into account the ground level from which electrons leave the active region into the injector of the next stage, but also gain compression as well as QCL periods, so as to emphasize the effects of the enhanced photon number in the laser cavity. Investigation of the optically-injected QCL spectral properties will be also in the context for future high performance oscillators, like low noise tunable photonic oscillators, in wavelengths from 3 to 5 microns, which can be enable multiple Radio Frequency photonics applications.
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